

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

08/574,461

Applicant(s)

BARONE ET AL.

Examiner

Padmashri Ponnaluri

Art Unit

1639

## All Participants:

(1) Padmashri Ponnaluri.

(2) Applicants Attorney John P. Iwanicki.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 1 April 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Written description*

Claims discussed:

*1-8, 10-15, 37-56*

Prior art documents discussed:

*The prior art of record*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner has discussed with applicants attorney that the prior art rejections and written description and enablement rejections of claims 1-8, 10-16, 37-39 would be withdrawn, and the written description rejection and scope enablement of claims 40-56 would be withdrawn if the claims are amended to recite the specific biological polymers or to cancel claims 40-56. Examiner has also discussed that in claim 10, the polymers synthesized in the first synthesis protocol and second synthesis protocol are to be the same. Applicants attorney has agreed to amend claim 10. On 4/1/04 applicants attorney has informed that no amendments to claims 40-56 would be made .